

United States Patent and Trademark Office

WALTHAM, MA 02451-1018

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. DVS-007(2516/8) 01/10/2000 3760 09/479,982 Marcel P.J. Gaudreau **EXAMINER** 7590 01/29/2004 LANDIORIO & TESKA RIOS CUEVAS, ROBERTO JOSE 260 BEAR HILL ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

> 2836 DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/479,982	GAUDREAU ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Roberto J Rios	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 6	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	pelow);	
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	• .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • ———	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 35-60.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	/
10. Other:		Michin

BRIAN SETCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMELLA
TECHNOLOGY CONTAIN 1990

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitation: "switches are substantially simultaneously switched on and maintained in an on state and switched off and maintained in an off state by at least one input signal" was never presented before and is considered to be a new issue that would require further consideration.